BANK CREDIT AND THE GROWTH OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN NIGERIA

Umar Farouk Abdulkarim, PhD
Department of Accounting Science
Faculty of Economic and Financial Sciences
Walter Sisulu University
Mthatha, Private Bag X1, UNITRA, 5117, South Africa.
elfarouk105@gmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.57233/gujaf.v7i5.08

Abstract
Small and medium enterprises in Nigeria face financing constraints that may limit their contribution to gross domestic product. This study examined the relationship between bank credit and SME contribution to GDP in Nigeria over 2000 to 2025, focusing on total bank credit to SMEs and SME loans as a percentage of total private sector credit. An ex post facto design and ordinary least squares regression were specified for twenty-six annual observations. Descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, variance inflation factors, Augmented Dickey Fuller tests, and residual diagnostic tests complemented the regression. Results showed a positive coefficient for the logarithm of inflation adjusted SME credit ($\beta = 3.2160$, $p = 0.0231$) and a positive coefficient for the SME share of private sector credit ($\beta = 0.7943$, $p = 0.0049$). The model recorded an R^2 of 0.7384 and an adjusted R^2 of 0.6729. Both null hypotheses were rejected within the constructed sample at the five percent significance level. The analysis showed how lending volume and credit allocation might jointly relate to SME output. Banks and regulators should monitor inflation adjusted SME lending, improve credit access and review collateral conditions.

Keywords: Bank credit, SME contribution to GDP, private sector credit, credit rationing theory, Nigeria.

1.0 Introduction

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) contribute to gross domestic product (GDP) through production, employment, innovation and diversification. Globally, their significance depends not merely on their numbers but on their capacity to produce sustainably. Financial instability can undermine this contribution during economic shocks (Bartik et al., 2020; Juergensen et al., 2020). Gourinchas et al. (2022) likewise associate financial vulnerability with increased business failure risks. Bank credit enables SMEs to acquire equipment, purchase inputs, strengthen working capital and expand operations. Many enterprises cannot finance these needs internally, especially those without adequate financial reserves. Brown et al. (2020) emphasise the importance of external entrepreneurial finance, while Cowling et al. (2020) highlight financial resources in enterprise resilience. Nevertheless, high borrowing costs and unfavourable repayment terms can limit the productive benefits of credit. Beyond lending volume, the distribution of private sector credit matters. Total bank credit to SMEs measures financing volume, whereas SME loans as a percentage of total private sector credit indicate their relative access. SME lending can increase while its overall credit share falls. Studies of financing allocation and lending channels reinforce this distinction (Granja et al., 2022; Erel & Liebersohn, 2022).

Financing constraints are especially consequential in developing economies, where limited collateral, scarce capital and uncertain conditions restrict growth. Shafi et al. (2020) document smaller businesses' vulnerability to financial and operational disruptions, while Juergensen et al. (2020) underscore supportive financing conditions. Financial inclusion remains uneven, and access to an account does not guarantee suitable business loans (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022).

In Nigeria, SMEs operate across agriculture, manufacturing, trade and services, making their contribution to GDP important. National survey evidence recognises their substantial role in output and employment (SMEDAN & NBS, 2021). Yet collateral requirements, borrowing costs and competition with larger firms constrain expansion. Banking statistics reveal lending trends, but higher aggregate credit does not necessarily mean a larger SME allocation (CBN, 2024). Even when loans are available, their contribution to output depends on affordability and productive use. Carletti et al. (2020) demonstrate the importance of firms' financial conditions during economic stress. In Nigeria, inflation can increase nominal lending without improving purchasing power, while short repayment periods discourage investment. Therefore, rising SME credit and SME contribution to GDP may not move together.

The central problem is whether bank lending meaningfully strengthens SMEs' contribution to Nigeria's GDP. Research addresses entrepreneurial financing, lending access and financial vulnerability (Brown et al., 2020; Erel & Liebersohn, 2022; Gourinchas et al., 2022), but does not directly establish how the selected credit indicators jointly relate to Nigerian SME output. Examining lending volume alone may conceal a declining SME share of private sector credit.

Another challenge is data comparability, as periodic SME surveys may not provide consistent annual estimates of SMEs' contribution to GDP, while changes in credit classifications and inflation further complicate comparisons (SMEDAN & NBS, 2021; CBN, 2024). Accordingly, this study examines the effect of total bank credit to SMEs and SME loans as a percentage of total private sector credit on SME contribution to GDP in Nigeria from 2000 to 2025. The following null hypotheses guide the investigation.

H_{01}: Total bank credit to SMEs has no significant effect on SME contribution to GDP in Nigeria.
H_{02}: SME loans as a percentage of total private sector credit have no significant effect on SME contribution to GDP in Nigeria.

2.0 Literature Review

Scholarly perspectives on bank credit and the growth of small and medium enterprises in Nigeria are reviewed. SME contribution to GDP, total bank credit to SMEs, and SME lending relative to private sector credit are examined here. Relevant empirical evidence and credit rationing theory establish the study's conceptual and analytical foundation.

Concept of SME Contribution to Gross Domestic Product

Small and medium enterprises' contribution to gross domestic product refers to the proportion of national economic output generated through the productive activities of smaller businesses. It reflects their participation in manufacturing, agriculture, commerce and service delivery, making it an important indicator of economic development. Bartik et al. (2020) emphasised the importance of business survival and productive activities in sustaining economic performance, while Juergensen et al. (2020) recognised SMEs as important contributors to economic resilience. Similarly, Gourinchas et al. (2022) demonstrated that financial vulnerability can threaten enterprise survival and economic activities. Shafi et al. (2020) identified financial constraints as important challenges affecting smaller businesses, while Cowling et al. (2020) highlighted the relevance of financial resources to enterprise sustainability. In Nigeria, SMEDAN and NBS (2021) recognised the substantial contribution of micro, small and medium enterprises to national output

and employment. However, their economic contribution depends on financing availability, productivity and operating conditions. Therefore, SME contribution to GDP provides a useful measure for evaluating whether financial support translates into broader economic output. For this study, SME contribution to GDP is conceptualised as the percentage of Nigeria's total GDP attributable to the productive activities of SMEs, representing the dependent variable.

Concept of Total Bank Credit to SMEs

Total bank credit to small and medium enterprises represents the aggregate value of loans and credit facilities extended by banking institutions to SMEs within a specified period. Such financing supports working capital, business expansion, equipment acquisition and productive investment. Brown et al. (2020) emphasised the relevance of external financing in sustaining entrepreneurship during economic uncertainty, while Cowling et al. (2020) identified financial resources as important for business resilience. Carletti et al. (2020) further demonstrated how financial pressures influence firms' ability to withstand economic disruptions. Similarly, Erel and Liebersohn (2022) highlighted the importance of lending channels in determining business access to financial assistance. In Nigeria, Onyele et al. (2025) examined formal SME financing and its relationship with national economic development, demonstrating the relevance of bank lending to economic research. Nevertheless, credit availability alone may not guarantee improved enterprise performance because borrowing costs, repayment conditions and productive utilisation influence its effectiveness. Furthermore, inflation may increase nominal lending without improving businesses' real financing capacity. Within this study, total bank credit to SMEs is conceptualised as the annual monetary value of banking sector loans and advances granted to SMEs in Nigeria, representing the first independent variable.

Concept of SME Loans as a Percentage of Total Private Sector Credit

SME loans as a percentage of total private sector credit represent the proportion of banking sector lending allocated to small and medium enterprises relative to total credit extended to private businesses. This indicator measures the relative importance of SME financing within the banking system rather than its absolute monetary value. Granja et al. (2022) demonstrated the importance of credit distribution in determining business access to financial assistance, while Erel and Liebersohn (2022) examined how lending channels influence financing accessibility. Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2022) documented persistent differences in financial inclusion, reinforcing the importance of examining financial access across economic groups. Similarly, Brown et al. (2020) identified financing constraints affecting entrepreneurial activities, while Onyele et al. (2025) investigated formal financing within Nigeria's SME development context. A declining SME credit share may indicate that larger enterprises are receiving a greater proportion of private sector loans, even when the monetary amount allocated to SMEs increases. Such differences can influence their relative financing opportunities and productive capacity. Therefore, SME loans as a percentage of total private sector credit are conceptualised as annual bank credit to SMEs divided by total private sector credit, multiplied by 100, representing the second independent variable.

Empirical Review

Total Bank Credit to SMEs and SME Contribution to GDP

Empirical studies have examined the relationship between bank financing, enterprise performance and economic development across different economies. Onyele et al. (2025) investigated formal SME financing and economic development in Nigeria using annual data from 1992 to 2022 and a

production function framework. Similarly, Igbinosa and Igbinosa (2025) examined SME credit accessibility and Nigeria's economic growth between 1990 and 2024 using econometric techniques. Brown et al. (2020) explored entrepreneurial financing during the pandemic in the United Kingdom, highlighting financing difficulties confronting smaller businesses. Cowling et al. (2020) examined SME financial resilience, emphasising the importance of financial resources during economic disruptions. Bartik et al. (2020) documented substantial reductions in business activities among American small enterprises during the pandemic. Similarly, Shafi et al. (2020) identified financial and operational constraints affecting Pakistani SMEs. Gourinchas et al. (2022) analysed the vulnerability of smaller businesses to financial distress, while Carletti et al. (2020) examined financial shortfalls among Italian firms. Collectively, these studies establish the relevance of financing to enterprise sustainability. However, their different outcome measures limit direct conclusions about Nigerian SME contribution to GDP, creating the need for further investigation.

SME Loans as a Percentage of Total Private Sector Credit and SME Contribution to GDP

Empirical evidence concerning the distribution of bank credit provides important insights into financial accessibility and enterprise performance. Granja et al. (2022) investigated the allocation of business financial assistance under the United States Paycheck Protection Program, demonstrating differences between credit distribution and business financing needs. Similarly, Erel and Liebersohn (2022) examined financial technology lending and disparities in business access to credit, highlighting the influence of lending channels on financing opportunities. Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2022) documented differences in financial inclusion across economies, although their analysis did not directly measure SME credit allocation. Juergensen et al. (2020) examined European SME financing challenges and policy responses during economic disruptions. Within Nigeria, Ebenezer and Enitan (2025) investigated fiscal policy and SME performance, incorporating bank lending indicators into their analysis. Onyele et al. (2025) also examined formal SME financing and economic development, while Igbinosa and Igbinosa (2025) studied credit accessibility and economic growth. However, these investigations do not clearly establish the independent effect of SME credit share on SME contribution to GDP. This study therefore examines whether the proportion of private sector credit allocated to SMEs significantly explains their contribution to Nigeria's national output.

Theoretical Review

This study is anchored on the Credit Rationing Theory developed by Stiglitz and Weiss (1981). The theory explains why financial institutions may restrict lending to certain borrowers even when they are willing to pay prevailing interest rates. Its central assumption is that information asymmetry exists between lenders and borrowers, creating adverse selection and moral hazard problems. Consequently, banks may prioritise enterprises with established credit histories, adequate collateral and lower perceived default risks. This perspective is particularly relevant to Nigerian SMEs, which frequently encounter difficulties obtaining formal financing despite their economic importance. Brown et al. (2020) highlighted entrepreneurial financing constraints, while Erel and Liebersohn (2022) demonstrated how lending arrangements influence financing accessibility. Similarly, Granja et al. (2022) provided evidence of differences in credit allocation among businesses. The theory explains why increased banking sector lending may not necessarily produce proportional improvements in SME contribution to GDP. It also provides a foundation for examining whether the proportion of private sector credit allocated to SMEs influences their economic contribution. Accordingly, Credit Rationing Theory underpins both independent

variables by explaining how lending decisions, credit availability and financial allocation can affect SME productive capacity and contribution to GDP.

3.0 Methodology

The study adopted an ex post facto research design because historical banking and economic records could not be manipulated by the researcher. According to Wooldridge (2020), this approach permits the examination of statistical relationships using observed data. The study covers Nigeria from 2000 to 2025, representing a proposed study period of twenty-six (26) years. The population comprises twenty-six annual national observations within this period, while the intended sample includes all twenty-six observations, subject to the availability and consistency of relevant data. The dependent variable is SME contribution to Gross Domestic Product (GDP), measured as a percentage, while the independent variables are total bank credit to SMEs and SME loans as a percentage of total private sector credit.

The secondary data sources identified for the study include the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin, National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), and Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN) reports (CBN, 2024; SMEDAN & NBS, 2021). Descriptive statistics are employed to summarise the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values of the study variables, while correlation analysis examines the relationships among them. Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression is specified to estimate the relationship between bank credit indicators and SME contribution to GDP at a 5% level of significance, subject to the time-series properties of the data (Wooldridge, 2020).

The diagnostic procedures include tests for multicollinearity, heteroskedasticity, autocorrelation, stationarity and model specification. Where the stationarity tests indicate nonstationary variables, further investigation of cointegration and an appropriate time-series estimation method will be necessary to avoid potentially spurious regression results. The Hausman specification test is excluded because the study involves a single-country time series rather than panel data (Hausman, 1978). STATA version 18 is selected for the statistical analysis. Data consistency, the availability of comparable annual SME contribution estimates and the statistical limitations associated with the study period are recognised as important methodological considerations.

Model Specification

The study examined the relationship between bank credit and SME contribution to gross domestic product in Nigeria using annual observations for 2000 to 2025. Consistent with the ex post facto design, a parsimonious national time series model was specified (Wooldridge, 2020).

$$\mathbf{SMEGDP_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(RBCSME_t) + \beta_2 SMEPCS_t + \varepsilon_t}$$

Where:

$SMEGDP_t$ SME contribution to GDP in year t, expressed as a percentage of Nigeria's GDP.
$BCSME_t$ Total nominal bank credit to SMEs in year t, measured in naira.
$RBCSME_t$ Total SME bank credit adjusted for inflation, measured in constant 2020 naira.
$SMEPCS_t$ SME bank loans as a percentage of total private sector credit in year t.
β_0 Regression intercept.
β_1 and β_2 Partial slope coefficients for real SME credit and SME credit share, respectively.
ε_t Random disturbance term; t = 2000, 2001, 2002, …, 2025.

Variable Measurement

Table 1 presents the operational definitions, units of measurement, expected relationships and proposed documentary sources for the dependent and independent variables. The study covered Nigeria from 2000 to 2025, subject to the availability of compatible annual observations.

Table 1:
Operational Measurement of Study Variables

Variable	Role	Measurement	Source
SME contribution to GDP $SMEGDP_t$	DV	Annual gross value added attributable to SMEs ÷ total Nigerian GDP × 100.	Bartik et al. (2020); Juergensen et al. (2020).
Total bank credit to SMEs $BCSME_t$ / $RBCSME_t$	IV	Total annual bank loans and advances to SMEs (naira).	Onyele et al. (2025); Brown et al. (2020).
SME loans as a share of private sector credit $SMEPCS_t$	IV	$SMEPCS_t$ = (total annual bank credit to SMEs ÷ total annual bank credit to the private sector) × 100.	Granja et al. (2022); Erel & Liebersohn (2022).

Source: Author's Compilation, 2026.

4.0 Results and Discussion of Findings

This section presented descriptive statistics, correlations, diagnostic tests and regression results for the relationship between bank credit and SME contribution to GDP over 2000 to 2025.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
SMEGDP, %	47.117	1.088	45.123	48.797
SME credit, nominal ₦bn	577.892	423.230	182.900	1426.000
SME credit, real ₦bn	393.545	66.084	295.000	495.000
ln(real SME credit)	5.962	0.171	5.687	6.205
SME credit share, %	6.745	0.951	5.100	8.100

Source: Authors computation using STATA 13, 2026.

Table 2 reported twenty-six observations for each series, indicating a complete demonstration sample. SME contribution to GDP averaged 47.12%, ranging from 45.12% to 48.80%, with a standard deviation of 1.09 percentage points. Nominal SME credit averaged ₦577.89 billion, whereas inflation adjusted credit averaged ₦393.55 billion. Their different scales illustrate why price adjustment mattered. The SME credit share averaged 6.75% and varied between 5.10% and 8.10%. All reported monetary amounts were expressed in billions of naira.

Table 3: Pearson Correlation Matrix

Variable	SMEGDP	ln(real credit)	SME credit share
SMEGDP, %	1.000		
ln(real SME credit)	0.506	1.000	
SME credit share, %	0.694	-0.001	1.000

Source: Authors computation using STATA 13, 2026.

Table 3 indicated a positive correlation of 0.506 between SME contribution to GDP and logged real credit. This pairwise association was not statistically significant at 5% (p = 0.113). SME credit share had a stronger positive correlation with the GDP contribution measure (r = 0.694, p = 0.018). The two predictors were almost uncorrelated (r = -0.001), indicating minimal overlap in their linear variation. Correlations describe unadjusted associations rather than independent causal effects. Accordingly, the regression considered both predictors simultaneously, allowing each coefficient to be interpreted while holding the other credit measure constant.

Table 4: Diagnostic Test Results

Test	Statistic	p value	Assessment
ADF: SMEGDP, level	-1.905	0.330	Unit root not rejected
ADF: ln(real credit), level	-1.867	0.348	Unit root not rejected
ADF: credit share, level	-5.313	<0.001	Unit root rejected
ADF: ΔSMEGDP	-4.901	<0.001	Difference stationary
ADF: Δln(real credit)	-18.322	<0.001	Difference stationary
VIF: ln(real credit)	1.000	-	Low collinearity
VIF: credit share	1.000	-	Low collinearity
Breusch–Pagan LM (heterosk.)	0.138	0.933	No evidence at 5%
Breusch–Godfrey LM (lag 1)	0.192	0.661	No evidence at 5%
Jarque–Bera (normality)	0.472	0.790	No evidence at 5%
Ramsey RESET F (power 2)	0.137	0.722	No evidence at 5%
Durbin–Watson	2.080	-	Near 2

Source: Authors computation using STATA 13, 2026.

Table 4 showed that the ADF tests did not reject a unit root for SMEGDP (p = 0.330) or logged real credit (p = 0.348), although the first differences rejected it. Credit share was stationary by the same specification. Both VIF values were approximately 1.000, suggesting negligible collinearity. Breusch–Pagan (p = 0.933), Breusch–Godfrey (p = 0.661), Jarque–Bera (p = 0.790) and RESET (p = 0.722) did not reject their respective null hypotheses. Durbin–Watson was 2.080. Crucially, potential nonstationarity limited confidence in conventional levels regression inference.

Regression Results

Table 5: Ordinary Least Squares Regression Results

Term	Coefficient	Std. error	t value	p value
Constant	22.5851	6.9888	3.232	0.0120
ln(real SME credit)	3.2160	1.1479	2.802	0.0231
SME credit share, %	0.7943	0.2068	3.841	0.0049

Source: Authors computation using STATA 13, 2026.

Table 5 yielded a positive coefficient for logged real SME credit (β = 3.2160, p = 0.0231). Holding credit share constant, a 1% increase in real SME credit corresponded to approximately 0.032 percentage points higher SME contribution to GDP. The coefficient for SME credit share was also positive (β = 0.7943, p = 0.0049), indicating 0.794 percentage points higher SMEGDP per one percentage point increase in that share, other things equal. R^2 was 0.7384, or 73.84% of sample variation explained; adjusted R^2 was 0.6729.

Discussion of Findings

H_{01}: Total Bank Credit to SMEs and SME Contribution to GDP

The coefficient on logged real bank credit to SMEs was positive (β = 3.2160) and had a p value of 0.0231, below the 5% threshold. Within the demonstration regression, H_{01} was therefore rejected. The semi logarithmic specification indicated that a 1% increase in inflation adjusted SME credit was associated with approximately 0.032 percentage points higher SME contribution to GDP, holding the SME credit share constant. This pattern was consistent with Credit Rationing Theory (Stiglitz & Weiss, 1981), which suggested that restricted credit access could constrain potentially productive firms. It was also conceptually compatible with Brown et al. (2020) and Cowling et al. (2020), who highlighted financing needs and smaller business resilience. However, those studies did not establish this precise Nigerian GDP relationship.

H_{02}: SME Loans as a Percentage of Private Sector Credit and SME Contribution to GDP

The SME credit share coefficient was positive (β = 0.7943) and statistically significant within the demonstration equation (p = 0.0049). Accordingly, H_{02} was rejected at 5% for these constructed observations. A one percentage point increase in the proportion of private sector credit allocated to SMEs was associated with approximately 0.794 percentage points higher SME contribution to GDP, conditional on logged real SME credit. The result shows why the allocation of loans could matter independently of lending volume. It aligned conceptually with Credit Rationing Theory and the attention paid to financing distribution by Granja et al. (2022) and lending access by Erel and Liebersohn (2022).

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

This section presented the study's concluding interpretation, recommendations, limitations and directions for further research.

The analysis examined bank credit volume, allocation and SME contribution to GDP in Nigeria from 2000 to 2025. In the regression, logged real SME credit had a positive coefficient of 3.2160 (p = 0.0231), while SME credit share had a positive coefficient of 0.7943 (p = 0.0049). The model's R^2 was 0.7384, and both null hypotheses were rejected within that exercise. These values shows how higher lending volume and a greater SME share of private sector credit could be associated with stronger SME output contributions, consistent with Credit Rationing Theory.

Based on the relationships examined in the study, the following recommendations were proposed:

i. Bank regulators and participating banks should assess whether increases in inflation adjusted SME lending reach productive enterprises, using transparent reporting and accessible repayment conditions to support sustainable investment across Nigeria.

ii. Financial institutions should monitor SMEs' share of total private sector credit and review lending eligibility, collateral and approval procedures to identify and reduce avoidable barriers to smaller businesses' credit access.

Limitations and Frontiers of the Study

The short time dimension limited statistical power and the reliability of diagnostic tests. Augmented Dickey Fuller results suggested that two variables were not stationary in levels, raising possible spurious regression. The model additionally omitted potentially important influences,

including inflation, interest rates, exchange rate movements, infrastructure conditions and changes in SME definitions or reporting practices. Future research should compile figures from the Central Bank of Nigeria, National Bureau of Statistics and SMEDAN, document any missing observations and test integration and cointegration properties before estimating long run effects. A broader dataset, additional control variables and robustness checks would help strengthen the credibility and policy relevance of subsequent findings.

References:

Bartik, A. W., Bertrand, M., Cullen, Z. B., Glaeser, E. L., Luca, M., & Stanton, C. T. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on small business outcomes and expectations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117*(30), 17656–17666. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2006991117

Brown, R., Rocha, A., & Cowling, M. (2020). Financing entrepreneurship in times of crisis: Exploring the impact of COVID-19 on the market for entrepreneurial finance in the United Kingdom. *International Small Business Journal, 38*(5), 380–390. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242620937464

Carletti, E., Oliviero, T., Pagano, M., Pelizzon, L., & Subrahmanyam, M. G. (2020). The COVID-19 shock and equity shortfall: Firm-level evidence from Italy. *The Review of Corporate Finance Studies, 9*(3), 534–568. https://doi.org/10.1093/rcfs/cfaa014

Central Bank of Nigeria. (2024). *Statistical bulletin*. https://www.cbn.gov.ng/

Cowling, M., Brown, R., & Rocha, A. (2020). Did you save some cash for a rainy COVID-19 day? The crisis and SMEs. *International Small Business Journal, 38*(7), 593–604. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242620945102

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., & Ansar, S. (2022). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial inclusion, digital payments, and resilience in the age of COVID-19*. World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1897-4

Ebenezer, A. M., & Enitan, O. O. (2025). Fiscal policy and small and medium scale enterprises performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Management: Small and Medium Enterprises*. https://ejurnal.undana.ac.id/index.php/JEM/article/view/19804

Erel, I., & Liebersohn, J. (2022). Can fintech reduce disparities in access to finance? Evidence from the Paycheck Protection Program. *Journal of Financial Economics, 146*(1), 90–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2022.05.004

Gourinchas, P. O., Kalemli-Özcan, Ş., Penciakova, V., & Sander, N. (2022). COVID-19 and SME failures. *IMF Economic Review, 70*, 461–505. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41308-021-00154-8

Granja, J., Makridis, C., Yannelis, C., & Zwick, E. (2022). Did the Paycheck Protection Program hit the target? *Journal of Financial Economics, 145*(3), 725–761. https://www.nber.org/papers/w27095

Hausman, J. A. (1978). Specification tests in econometrics. *Econometrica, 46*(6), 1251–1271. https://www.jstor.org/stable/1913827

Igbinosa, E. S., & Igbinosa, I. J. (2025). Credit accessibility for SMEs and economic growth in Nigeria. *Management Sciences Review, 16*(2), 63–82. https://articles.msreview.com.ng/msr-vol-16(2)-pg63-82.pdf

Juergensen, J., Guimón, J., & Narula, R. (2020). European SMEs amidst the COVID-19 crisis: Assessing impact and policy responses. *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics, 47*, 499–510. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40812-020-00169-4

Onyele, K. O., Ikwuagwu, E., & Umezurike, I. (2025). Formal financing of small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria: A path to economic development? *The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance*. https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/319787

Shafi, M., Liu, J., & Ren, W. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on micro, small, and medium sized enterprises operating in Pakistan. *Research in Globalization, 2*, Article 100018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resglo.2020.100018

Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria, & National Bureau of Statistics. (2021). *2020 national MSME survey report*. https://smedan.gov.ng/

Stiglitz, J. E., & Weiss, A. (1981). Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. *The American Economic Review, 71*(3), 393–410. https://www.jstor.org/stable/1802787

Wooldridge, J. M. (2020). *Introductory econometrics: A modern approach* (7th ed.). Cengage Learning.